## COMMITTEE ON LEGISLATIVE RESEARCH OVERSIGHT DIVISION

### **FISCAL NOTE**

<u>L.R. No.</u>: 0165-01 <u>Bill No.</u>: SB 16

Subject: Motor Vehicles; Transportation; Cities, Towns and Villages; County Government

<u>Type</u>: Original

Date: February 17, 2011

Bill Summary: This proposal prohibits political subdivisions from using automated photo

red light enforcement systems to enforce red light violations.

## **FISCAL SUMMARY**

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON GENERAL REVENUE FUND			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2012	FY 2013	FY 2014
Total Estimated Net Effect on General Revenue Fund	\$0	\$0	\$0

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON OTHER STATE FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2012	FY 2013	FY 2014	
Total Estimated Net Effect on Other State Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	

Numbers within parentheses: ( ) indicate costs or losses.

This fiscal note contains 5 pages.

L.R. No. 0165-01 Bill No. SB 16 Page 2 of 5 February 17, 2011

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2012	FY 2013	FY 2014	
Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>All</u> Federal Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FULL TIME EQUIVALENT (FTE)				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2012	FY 2013	FY 2014	
Total Estimated Net Effect on FTE	0	0	0	

- ☐ Estimated Total Net Effect on All funds expected to exceed \$100,000 savings or (cost).
- □ Estimated Net Effect on General Revenue Fund expected to exceed \$100,000 (cost).

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON LOCAL FUNDS			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2012	FY 2013	FY 2014
<b>Local Government</b>	(Unknown)	(Unknown)	(Unknown)

L.R. No. 0165-01 Bill No. SB 16 Page 3 of 5 February 17, 2011

#### FISCAL ANALYSIS

## **ASSUMPTION**

Officials from the **Department of Transportation**, the **Department of Revenue**, **Office of the State Courts Administrator**, and the **Department of Public Safety - Director's Office** and **Highway Patrol** each assume the proposal would not fiscally impact their respective agencies.

Officials from the **City of Kansas City** state the proposal would have a negative impact of approximately \$3,500,000 in fiscal year 2012 and perhaps more than that in late fiscal years. Kansas City states they have red light cameras at its most dangerous intersections.

Officials from **St. Louis County** assume the proposal would not fiscally impact their agency.

**Oversight** assumes political subdivisions currently using automated photo red light enforcement systems could experience a loss in revenues from fines as a result of the proposal, as they would be prohibited from using such systems to enforce red light violations. Oversight assumes the losses to be Unknown.

Officials from Columbia, Independence, Maryland Heights, St. Louis and Springfield did not respond to Oversight's request for fiscal impact.

	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>
FISCAL IMPACT - State Government	FY 2012 (10 Mo.)	FY 2013	FY 2014

L.R. No. 0165-01 Bill No. SB 16 Page 4 of 5 February 17, 2011

FISCAL IMPACT - Local Government	FY 2012	FY 2013	FY 2014
	(10  Mo.)		

### LOCAL GOVERNMENT

<u>Losses</u> – Political Subdivisions			
Fine Revenues	(Unknown)	(Unknown)	(Unknown)

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON LOCAL GOVERNMENT (Unknown) (Unknown) (Unknown)

## FISCAL IMPACT - Small Business

No direct fiscal impact to small businesses would be expected as a result of this proposal.

## **FISCAL DESCRIPTION**

This act prohibits political subdivisions from using automated photo red light enforcement systems to enforce red light violations.

This legislation is not federally mandated, would not duplicate any other program and would not require additional capital improvements or rental space.

L.R. No. 0165-01 Bill No. SB 16 Page 5 of 5 February 17, 2011

# **SOURCES OF INFORMATION**

Department of Public Safety
Department of Transportation
Office of the State Courts Administrator
Department of Revenue
City of Kansas City
St. Louis County

## NOT RESPONDING:

Columbia
Independence
Maryland Heights
St. Louis
Springfield

Mickey Wilson, CPA

Mickey Wilen

Director

February 17, 2011